

Translation Disclaimer: This information has been translated for your convenience using translation software powered by Google AJAX. Reasonable efforts have been made to provide an accurate translation, however, no automated translation is perfect nor is it intended to replace human translators.

Any discrepancies or differences created in the translation are not binding and have no legal effect for compliance or enforcement purposes. If any questions arise related to the accuracy of the information contained in the translated information, please refer to the document in its original language, which is the official version.

Revision 1



[Ver en Español](#)

Operation: Ranch Final Report Audit Report Summary	CB Registration No. NSF-PGFS-267-1 PrimusGFS ID #92599 – Cert:2 Audited by NSF International	PrimusGFS Version 2.1-2								
Organization:	Agrícola 4 Esquinas, S.P.R. de R.L.									
	Contact(s): Carolina Vargas: ji_carito@hotmail.com, Rocio Vargas: rvargas@taylorfarms.com									
	Address: Rancho San Acacio Km 23 Carretera Los Rodríguez–Dr.Mora Comunidad San Martín del Paredón									
	Location: San Miguel de Allende, Guanajuato 37700, Mexico									
	Phone: 5543293741									
Ranch:	San Juan									
	Contact: Carolina Vargas									
	Location: Km 24.5 Carretera Los Rodriguez–Dr. Mora San Miguel de Allende, Guanajuato 37700, Mexico									
Shipper:	Taylor Farms de México S. de R.L. de C.V.									
Operation Type:	Ranch									
Audit Scope:	Rancho farm dedicated to the production of lettuce (iceberg, romaine, romaine hearts) and broccoli.Tables: 1–13.Water sources: Pozo "San Juan" and well "3" is finally started functioning from April.There is a pond of open water.Drip irrigation system.									
Audit Type:	Announced Audit									
Date FSMS Started:	08 Mar 2016 11:31									
Date FSMS Finished:	08 Mar 2016 17:40									
Date Operation Started:	09 Mar 2016 09:00									
Date Operation Finished:	05 Apr 2016 14:00									
Product(s) Observed During Audit:	Romaine Lettuce, Broccoli, Romaine Hearts, Iceberg / Head Lettuce									
Similar Product(s) Not Observed:	None Specified									
Product(s) Applied for but Not Observed:	None Specified									
Auditor:	Maria del Socorro Sandoval Toxtli (NSF International)									
Preliminary Audit Score:	89%									
Final Audit Score:	97% Click here to see Corrective Action Activity									
GPS Coordinates:	<table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th>Latitude:</th> <th>Longitude:</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>21° 4' 44"</td> <td>100° 35' 2"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21° 4' 40"</td> <td>100° 35' 36"</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21° 5' 1"</td> <td>100° 35' 28"</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Latitude:	Longitude:	21° 4' 44"	100° 35' 2"	21° 4' 40"	100° 35' 36"	21° 5' 1"	100° 35' 28"
Latitude:	Longitude:									
21° 4' 44"	100° 35' 2"									
21° 4' 40"	100° 35' 36"									
21° 5' 1"	100° 35' 28"									
Certificate Link:	View Certificate									

Audit Scoring Summary	Pre-Corrective Action Review	Post-Corrective Action Review
Food Safety Management System Requirements	Score: 194 Possible Points: 209 Percent Score: 92%	Score: 209 Possible Points: 209 Percent Score: 100%
Good Agricultural Practices Requirements	Score: 768 Possible Points: 865 Percent Score: 88%	Score: 838 Possible Points: 865 Percent Score: 96%
Total:	Score: 962 Possible Points: 1074 Percent Score: 89%	Score: 1047 Possible Points: 1074 Percent Score: 97%

Non-Conformance Summary By Count	Pre-Corrective Action Non-Conformances	Post-Corrective Action Non-Conformances (NC's without completed CA's)
Food Safety Management System Requirements	4	0
Good Agricultural Practices Requirements	11	3
Total:	15	3

Sections:

Food Safety Management System Requirements

[Management System](#)

[Control of Documents and Records](#)

[Procedures and Corrective Actions](#)

[Internal and external inspections](#)

[Rejection and release of product](#)

[Supplier Control](#)

[Traceability and Recall](#)

[Food Defense](#)

Good Agricultural Practices Requirements

[General GAP](#)

[Site Identification](#)

[Ground History](#)

[Adjacent land use](#)

[Fertilizer/Crop Nutrition](#)

[Irrigation/Water Use](#)

[Crop Protection](#)

[Field Worker Hygiene \(Applies to on-the-farm or greenhouse workers not the harvesting workers\)](#)

FSMS – Management System

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
1.01.01	Is there a Food Safety Manual or other documented food safety management system covering the scope of business included in this audit and procedures/instructions for all food safety processes?	Minor Deficiency	3	5	The scope of the operations manual and documents does not include new batches (7-13).	
1.01.02	Is there a documented food safety policy detailing the company's commitment to food safety?	Total Compliance	5	5	The company has a safety policy signed by the general manager: Juan Manuel Vargas (5 / Jan / 2016).	
1.01.03	Is there an organizational chart of all workers who have food safety related activities?	Total Compliance	3	3	The chart includes the position of workers who are involved with safety activities, roles and responsibilities are documented and substitutions are indicated (5 / Jan / 2016).	
1.01.04	Is there a food safety committee and are there logs of food safety meetings with topics covered and attendees?	Total Compliance	5	5	The safety committee members are documented. The last meeting was held on 16 / feb / 2016.	
1.01.05	Is there documented management verification of the entire food safety management system at least every 12 months?	Total Compliance	5	5	The company has carried out the verification of the food safety system Ranch 2015 San Acacio.	
1.01.06	Is there a documented analysis detailing resources required to implement and improve the food safety management system processes with documented commitment from management to provide these resources?	Total Compliance	5	5	There is an analysis of resources: Cost of Food Security Programme January to December 2016, signed by the general manager (Juan Manuel Vargas) 5 / Jan / 2016 and a letter of commitment from senior management.	

FSMS – Control of Documents and Records

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
1.02.01	Is there a written document control procedure describing how documents will be maintained, updated and replaced?	Total Compliance	3	3	There is a "POE 02.09. Control Document" procedure that indicates as responsible for control of documents and safety manager field.	
1.02.02	Are all records stored for a minimum period of 12 months or for at least the shelf life of product if greater than a year?	Total Compliance	5	5	records last year (2015) were presented.	
1.02.03	Are food safety related documents and records stored and handled in a secured manner?	Total Compliance	3	3	Documents ranch safety program are stored in an office located inside the ranch, it has	

1.02.04	Are the records maintained in an organized and retrievable manner?	Total Compliance	3	3	controlled access and files lap top has password. The records are organized in folders on a monthly basis.
---------	--------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------	---	---	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

FSMS – Procedures and Corrective Actions

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
1.03.01	Are there documented instructions for the creation of Standard Operating Procedures?	Total Compliance	5	5	Instructions for creating documents are described in the "POE 9–1. Preparation of documents" procedure was available.	
1.03.02	Are the written procedures available to relevant users and is a master copy maintained in a central file?	Total Compliance	5	5	Fertirriego staff and pesticide applications have their available procedures.	
1.03.03	Is there a corrective action procedure that describes the requirements for handling deficiencies affecting food safety and prevention of future occurrences?	Total Compliance	5	5	There is a procedure "POE 7–8. Food security. Procedure nonconformity / corrective / preventive actions available Actions".	
1.03.04	Is there a daily incidents report, sometimes called a Notice(s) of Unusual Occurrence and Corrective Actions Log (NUOCA) ?	Total Compliance	5	5	Reports of unusual incidents were unavailable;(13 / feb / 2016, areas without signs).	

FSMS – Internal and external inspections

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
1.04.01	Is there documented program for internal audits to be performed at the operations, covering all processes impacting food safety and the related documents and records?	Total Compliance	3	3	There is a POE Food security 05/07.internal audit indicated that the frequency of such audits is annual (at the beginning of the season).last internal audit on 15 / Jan / 2016.	
1.04.02	Are there written procedures for handling regulatory inspections?	Total Compliance	3	3	There is a procedure POE Food security 02/07.Attention to inspections and audits described how they should be addressed.	
1.04.03	Are there records of regulatory inspections and/or contracted inspections, company responses and corrective actions, if any?	Total Compliance	5	5	The record of corrective actions contracted audit PrimusPGFS (10 / Mar / 2015) were available.	
1.04.04	Are there documented calibration procedures for measuring and monitoring devices used in the operations that are related to the safety of the product?	Non-Compliance	0	10	There is no documented for calibration of measuring instruments procedure and there are no records of calibration of these instruments (glasses and balance).	

FSMS – Rejection and release of product

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
1.05.01	Is there a written procedure for handling on hold or rejected products?	Total Compliance	5	5	The company has a procedure "POE 02.08 Product Management. Management retentate or rejected" describes the product handling on hold or rejected.	
1.05.02	Are there records of the handling of on hold or rejected products kept on file?	Total Compliance	5	5	There archived records of rejected product handling eg (21 / oct / 2015, reason: worm content, quantity: 2.15 ha.).	
1.05.03	Is there a documented product release procedure available?	Minor Deficiency	3	5	The procedure POE 8–3 Product Management.Product release, does not include delivery to customers other than Taylor Farms.	
1.05.04	Are there records of product releases kept on file?	Total Compliance	5	5	microbiological analysis, analysis of pesticide residues and pesticide application log lettuce and broccoli;lettuce (30 / oct / 15), broccoli (11 / Sep / 15): E. coli and Salmonella: absent;lettuce (21 / oct / 15): Propamocarb: 0.067ppm, broccoli (12 / Sep / 15): ND.	
1.05.05	Is there a documented system for dealing with customer and buyer food safety complaints/feedback along with records and company responses, including corrective actions?	Total Compliance	10	10	The company has a procedure for handling customer complaints "POE 7–6. Food safety. Customer complaints" (customer complaint form) available, so far responsible for the safety program claimed to have no complaints.	

FSMS – Supplier Control

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
1.06.01	Are there current written food safety related specifications for all raw products, ingredients, materials and services purchased?	Total Compliance	5	5	There is a procedure specifications for suppliers: "Food security 07/04 POE selection, evaluation and approval of suppliers.." Available.	
1.06.02	Is there a written procedure detailing how suppliers are evaluated, approved and monitored?	Total Compliance	5	5	evaluation of suppliers in the following procedure is as follows: "Food security 07/04 POE selection, evaluation and approval of suppliers..".	
1.06.03	Is there a list of approved suppliers?	Total Compliance	5	5	The company is a provider directory described in "List of approved suppliers.	
1.06.04	Does the organization have documented evidence to ensure that all products, ingredients or materials and services suppliers comply with the approval requirements and that they are being monitored as defined in the procedure?	Total Compliance	15	15	Bayer CropScience (7 / Jan / 2016), techniques pesticides (Proclaim, Movento, Phyton, Pirestar) tabs: warranty card showed seed supplier.Charter provider's commitment seedling: protoplant Bajio S.A: de C.V.(26 / feb / 2016) and certified BPA's (23 / Sep / 2016).	
1.06.05	If the organization is outsourcing any processes that may affect food safety, are there control procedures over such processes?	Total Compliance	15	15	cleaning and sanitization of portable toilets (23 / feb / 2016): letter of guarantee provider showed bathrooms.Procedure and service records available.	
1.06.06	If tests and/or analysis within scope to food safety are performed by external laboratories, are they licensed/accredited (e.g. ISO 17025 or equivalent, National Regulations, State Department, etc.)?	Total Compliance	5	5	Producer laboratories used for sending different samples are: Silliker Accreditation EMA (A-023-039 / 09).AGROLAB accreditation EMA (SA-0060-008 / 11).Accreditation Laquimia EMA (A-018-164 / 09).	

FSMS – Traceability and Recall

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
1.07.01	Is there a document that indicates how the company product tracking system works, thereby enabling trace back and trace forward to occur in the event of a potential recall issue?	Total Compliance	10	10	There is a procedure to track product: "POE 8-1 Product Management Procedure recovery, tracking and tracing..".	
1.07.02	Does the organization have a documented recall program including procedures, recall team roles and contact details, external contact listings and explanation of different recall classes?	Total Compliance	15	15	There is a procedure to track product: "POE 8-1 Product Management Procedure recovery, tracking and tracing..".	
1.07.03	Is testing of recall procedures (including trace back) performed and documented at least every six months? Can the company identify where affected product was sent?	Total Compliance	10	10	a test product recovery includes documentary evidence that was performed.The exercise of withdrawal took place on 4 / Mar / 2016, beginning at 15: 00hrs and ended at 15:30 hours.	

FSMS – Food Defense

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
1.08.01	Does the company have a documented food defense policy based on the risks associated with the operation?	Total Compliance	5	5	There is a food defense policy including risk and security controls.	
1.08.02	Is there a current list of emergency contact phone numbers for management, law enforcement and appropriate regulatory agencies?	Total Compliance	3	3	The company has a directory that includes emergency management, authorities and regulatory agencies.	
1.08.03	Are visitors to the company operations required to adhere to food defense policies?	Minor Deficiency	2	3	the auditor was requested to adhere to biosafety policies ranch.	

GAP – General GAP

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
2.01.01	Is there a designated person responsible for the food safety program in the field?	Yes	10	10	There is a person responsible for the safety program: Carolina Vargas.	
2.01.02	Is there documented evidence of the internal audits performed to the audited operations, detailing findings and corrective actions?	Yes	10	10	internal audit ranch by Carolina Vargas and Jorge Mendoza was held on 18 January 2016.	
2.01.03	Are the necessary food security controls implemented in the operation?	Yes	5	5	There is a door to the ranch where visitors register before entering the premises.The facilities within the ranch have controlled access.	

GAP – Site Identification

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
2.02.01	Is the growing area(s) adequately identified or coded to enable trace back and trace forward in the event of a recall?	Yes	15	15	Tables marked field were observed and also map the ranch.	

GAP – Ground History

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
2.03.01	Were farming area(s) used for growing food crops for human consumption last season?	Yes	0	0	There is a land use letter signed by the general manager indicating that the ranch is 20 years with agricultural activity.	
2.03.02	Has the growing area(s) been used for any non-agricultural functions? If No, go to 2.03.03	No	7	7	The ranch has only been used for farming for 20 years.	
2.03.02a	If the land had been used previously for non-agricultural functions have soil tests been conducted showing soil was negative or within an appropriate regulatory agency's approved limits for contaminants?	N/A	0	0		
2.03.03	Has the growing area(s) been used for animal husbandry or grazing land for animals? If No, go to 2.03.04	No	7	7	The ranch has only been used for farming for 20 years.	
2.03.03a	If the land was used previously for animal husbandry or grazing land for livestock, has a risk evaluation been performed?	N/A	0	0		
2.03.04	Is there evidence of animal presence and/or animal activity in the audited area? If answer is NO, go to Q 2.03.05.	No	15	15	No evidence of animal activity was observed in the area audited.	
2.03.04a	Is the evidence of animal presence and/or animal activity found, in the form of fecal contamination? If answer is NO, go to Q 2.03.05.	N/A	0	0		
2.03.04b	Is the fecal matter found in the audited area, a systematic event (not sporadic)? A 'YES' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	N/A	0	0		
2.03.05	Has flooding from uncontrolled causes occurred on the growing area(s) since the previous growing season? If No, go to 2.03.06	No	0	0	Responsible for safety stated that there have been no flooding and land use letter also it indicates (last 5 years has not been submitted floods).	
2.03.05a	If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product?	N/A	0	0		
2.03.05b	Have soil tests been conducted on the flooded area(s) showing soil was negative or within an appropriate regulatory agency's approved limits for contaminants?	N/A	0	0		
2.03.06	Is the growing operation under organic principals? If No, go to 2.3.07	No	0	0	The ranch has only conventional farming operation.	
2.03.06a	Is current certification by an accredited organic certification organization on file and available for review?	N/A	0	0		
2.03.07	Has a documented risk assessment been undertaken for the growing area with appropriate corrective actions to minimize identified hazards where necessary?	Yes	10	10	There is a documented risk assessment was conducted on 13 / January / 2016 by Jorge Mendoza and other risks documented analysis that includes lots of 7-13 which was held on April 3 by Miguel Gonzalez.	

GAP – Adjacent land use

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
2.04.01	Is the adjacent land to the growing area a possible source of contamination from intensive livestock production (e.g. feed lots, dairy operations, poultry houses, meat rendering operation)? If No, go to 2.04.02	No	10	10	No evidence of intensive livestock production on adjacent land. The area is not a source of contamination.	
2.04.01a	Have appropriate measures been taken to mitigate this possible contamination source onto the growing area (e.g. buffer areas, physical barriers, foundation, fences, ditches, etc.)?	N/A	0	0		
2.04.02	Are, or is there evidence of domestic animals, wild animals, grazing lands (includes homes with hobby farms, and non commercial livestock) in proximity to growing operation? If No, go to 2.04.03.	Yes	0	10	3 dogs on adjacent land in Table 4 and a corral with more than 20 sheep and a rooster adjacent land Table 8 were observed.	
2.04.02a	Have physical measures been put in place to restrain domestic animals, grazing lands, (includes homes with hobby farms, and non commercial livestock) and their waste from entering the growing area (e.g. vegetative strips, wind breaks, physical barriers, berms, fences, diversion ditches.)?	Yes	15	15	The ranch is fenced in and there are frequent inspections throughout the periphery of the ranch. No evidence of contamination was observed in the culture.	
2.04.02b	Is there a written policy supported by visual evidence that domestic, livestock, or wild animals are not allowed in the growing area? Note: This includes any packaging or equipment storage areas.	Yes	10	10	The ranch has a policy to prevent access to animals.	
2.04.02c	Are measures in place to reduce or limit the animal intrusion (i.e., monitoring field perimeter for signs of intrusion)?	Yes	15	15	The ranch is fenced, there are frequent inspections and no evidence of contamination was observed in culture.	
2.04.03	Are untreated animal manure piles, compost, biosolids, or nonsynthetic amendment stored and/or applied on adjacent land? If No, go to 2.04.04	No	10	10	No animal manure stored or applied on adjacent land was observed.	
2.04.03a	Have physical measures been taken to secure untreated animal manure piles, compost, biosolids, or nonsynthetic amendment stored	N/A	0	0		

	and/or applied on adjacent land?				
2.04.03b	If biosolids are stored and/or applied on adjacent land, has the adjacent landowner supplied paperwork confirming the biosolids meet prevailing guidelines, governmental, or local standards?	N/A	0	0	
2.04.04	Is the growing area situated in a higher risk location where contamination could occur from nearby operations or functions (e.g. leach fields, runoff or potential flooding from sewers, toilet systems, industrial facilities, labor camps)? If No, go to 2.04.05.	No	10	10	The agricultural land is located in an area with no risk. Adjacent land used to grow corn, oats, access roads, highway San Miguel DR. Blackberry.
2.04.04a	Have appropriate measures been taken to mitigate risks related to nearby operations?	N/A	0	0	
2.04.05	Is there evidence of human fecal matter in the adjacent land to the audited area? If NO, go to 2.05.01 (Greenhouse Audit) or 2.07.01 (Ranch Audit)	No	15	15	No evidence of human fecal matter was observed adjacent land.
2.04.05a	Does the human fecal matter found in the adjacent area, represents a high risk to the crop for potential of contamination due to conditions as: lack of access controls (barriers), closeness to the growing area and equipment, crop type and maturity, land condition, and others?	N/A	0	0	

GAP – Fertilizer/Crop Nutrition

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
2.07.01	Is untreated human sewage sludge used in the growing cycle? A 'YES' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	No	20	20	No drainage water used untreated.	
2.07.02	Is compost produced from animal derived materials used by the grower? If No, go to 2.07.03	No	0	0	No composts of animal-derived materials are used.	
2.07.02a	Are compost applications incorporated into the soil prior to planting or bud burst for tree crops and not applied during the growing season?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.02b	Are there compost use records available for each growing area, including application records which shows that the interval between application and harvest was not less than 45 days (unless validation studies prove a shorter interval is acceptable)?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.02c	Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (CoA) from the compost supplier(s) that covers pathogen testing (plus any other legally/best practice required testing) and does the grower have relevant letters of guarantee regarding SOP's and logs?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.02d	Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA), letters of guarantee or some other documents from the compost supplier(s) that covers heavy metal testing?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.03	Are biosolids used? If No, go to 2.07.04. NOTE: Special attention to commodity specific guidelines rules (e.g., Californian Leafy Greens) which ban the use of biosolids, see 2.07.03d	No	0	0	No evidence of use of biosolids is observed.	
2.07.03a	Are biosolids incorporated into the soil prior to planting or bud burst for tree crops and not applied during the growing season?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.03b	Are the grower's biosolids use records available for each growing area, especially application records?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.03c	Is there a Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA) from the biosolid supplier(s) certifying compliance with prevailing national/ local standards and guidelines (microbiological analysis)? A 'NO' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	N/A	0	0		
2.07.03d	Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA), letters of guarantee or some other documents from the biosolid supplier(s) certifying compliance with prevailing national/ local standards and guidelines (heavy metal test analysis)?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.03e	Are biosolids being applied to crops where the country of production regulations/guidelines ban the use such materials e.g. Leafy Green Commodity Specific Guidelines in California? A 'YES' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	N/A	0	0		
2.07.04	Is untreated animal manure used? If No, go to 2.07.05. NOTE: Special attention to commodity specific guidelines rules (e.g., Californian Leafy Green Commodity Specific Guidelines) which ban the use of untreated animal manures. See 2.07.04d	No	15	15	No manure or animal manure is used untreated.	
2.07.04a	Is untreated animal manure incorporated into the soil prior to planting or bud burst for tree crops and not applied during the growing season?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.04b	Are there untreated animal manure records available for each growing area including application records which shows that the interval between application and harvest was not less than 120 days (unless more stringent laws or guidelines exist)?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.04c	Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA), specification or some other document available for review provided by the untreated animal manure supplier stating the components of the material?	N/A	0	0		
2.07.04d	Are untreated animal manures being used where the country regulations/guidelines ban the use such materials (e.g., Californian Leafy Green Commodity Specific Guidelines)? A 'YES' ANSWER	N/A	0	0		

TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.

2.07.05	Are other nonsynthetic crop treatments used (e.g. compost teas, fish emulsions, fish meal, blood meal, "bio fertilizers")? If No, go to 2.07.06	No	0	0	No non-synthetic treatments are used on the ranch.
2.07.05a	Are nonsynthetic treatments that contain animal products or animal manures applied to the edible portions crops?	N/A	0	0	
2.07.05b	Are nonsynthetic crop treatment records available for each growing area including application records demonstrating the interval between application and harvest was not less than 45 days (unless validation studies prove a shorter interval is acceptable)?	N/A	0	0	
2.07.05c	Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis available from the nonsynthetic crop treatment suppliers that covers pathogen testing (plus any other legally/best practice required testing)?	N/A	0	0	
2.07.05d	Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA), letters of guarantee or some other documents from the nonsynthetic crop treatment suppliers that covers heavy metal testing?	N/A	0	0	
2.07.06	Are any soil or substrate amendments (except inorganic nutrients/fertilizers) used that do not contain animal products and/or animal manures? If No, go to 2.07.07	Yes	0	0	Agrosuelo (organics) is used.
2.07.06a	Are the grower's soil or substrate amendment (except inorganic nutrients/fertilizers that do not contain animal products and/or animal manures) records available for review including application records?	Yes	10	10	The records were available.
2.07.06b	Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA) and/or letters of guarantee stating that the materials used are free from animal products and/or animal manures?	Yes	20	20	guarantee letter indicating product free of animal products (21 / oct / 2015).
2.07.07	Are inorganic fertilizers used? If No, go to 2.07.08	Yes	0	0	The producer uses inorganic fertilizers.
2.07.07a	Are the grower's inorganic fertilizer records available for review including application records?	No	0	10	Records inorganic fertilizer application not indicate the method of application.
2.07.07b	Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (COA), letters of guarantee or some other documents from the inorganic fertilizer supplier(s) that specifies the all the ingredients including inert materials?	Yes	7	7	There is a letter of guarantee provider fertilizers: Fertigro.agricultural fertilizers (2 / Mar / 2016) that specifies ingredients.
2.07.08	If fertilizers and/or fertilizer containers are stored on the property, are they stored in a manner to prevent contamination to the growing area(s), product or any of water sources?	Yes	3	3	The ranch shares fertilizer warehouse with the San Acacio ranch which was observed clean, and away from growing areas and water sources.

GAP – Irrigation/Water Use

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
2.08.01	Does the growing operation practice dryland farming? If No, go to 2.08.02.	No	0	0	Agricultural production of lettuce and broccoli is not temporary.	
2.08.01a	If the growing operation practices dryland farming, are there water systems used in the growing operation to supply for crop needs such as crop protection/fertilizer applications, and frost or freeze prevention program? If No, go to 2.08.02	N/A	0	0		
2.08.01b	Are microbiological tests, including generic E.coli conducted on the water? If No, go to 2.08.01d	N/A	0	0		
2.08.01c	Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.01d	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.01e	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.01f	If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.02	Is the water used for the growing operation sourced from Municipal or District water pipeline systems? If No, go to 2.08.03	No	0	0	The water used for irrigation does not come from the municipal network.	
2.08.02a	Are microbiological tests, including generic E.coli conducted on the water? If No, go to 2.08.02c.	N/A	0	0		
2.08.02b	Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.02c	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.02d	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.02e	If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.02f	Are the crops irrigated by a micro irrigation or drip system?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.02g	Is overhead irrigation used to irrigate the crop or as part of a frost or freeze prevention program? NOTE: "Irrigating the crop" refers to irrigation during the mature growing cycle. This does not include pre-planting or just after planting to create a stand.	N/A	0	0		
2.08.02h	Are the crops irrigated by flood irrigation or a furrow system?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.02i	Are the crops sub irrigated (also known as seepage irrigation)?	N/A	0	0		
2.08.03	Is the water used in the growing operation sourced from wells? If No, go to 2.08.04	Yes	0	0	The water used for irrigation comes from the well "San Juan".	

2.08.03a	Are all well heads at adequate distance from untreated manure?	N/A	0	0	No stored manure on the ranch.
2.08.03b	Is the well designed to prevent contamination?	Yes	10	10	The well is fenced and free of contamination.
2.08.03c	Is it evident that the well(s) is free from contamination issues and are measures taken to minimize contamination of wells?	No	0	10	2 leaks were observed in the main well pipe San Juan.
2.08.03d	Are records kept for periodic inspections and treatment of wells (if performed) available for review?	Yes	7	7	Inspection records were available wells (March, January, February and December).
2.08.03e	Are microbiological tests, including generic E.coli conducted on the water? If No, go to 2.08.03g	Yes	20	20	Well:// Pozo "San Juan": 2 / feb / 15, 20 / Jan / 16, 15 / feb / 16, 14 / Mar / 16: E. coli and C. F. <1.1 MPN / 100ml; Pozo "3": 30 / Mar / 16, 31 / Mar / 16 E. coli and C. F. <1.1 MPN / 100ml. Water pesticide applications: 2 / feb / 15, 20 / Jan / 16, 15 / feb / 16: E. coli and C. F. <1.1 MPN / 100ml. Water usage points (Table 5) 13 / nov / 15 (Table 3) 15 / feb / 15, (Table 1) 20 / Jan / 16, (Table 1), 15 / feb / 16, 14 / mar / 16: E. coli and CF <1.1 MPN / 100ml. Silliker Laboratory. Method M-004 CCA YAC-
2.08.03f	Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?	Yes	15	15	Microbiological water analysis are updated.
2.08.03g	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	Yes	10	10	No written procedure for sampling water sources: SQF-3.7.5.2 Strategies microbiological analysis of water sources ??.
2.08.03h	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	Yes	10	10	The "SQF-3: 7.5.2 Strategies microbiological analysis of water sources" procedure includes corrective measures for unsuitable ?? results.
2.08.03i	If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	N/A	0	0	No detected abnormal microbiological analyzes.
2.08.03j	Are the crops irrigated by a micro irrigation or drip system?	Yes	0	0	There are drip irrigation system for all sections of the ranch.
2.08.03k	Is overhead irrigation used to irrigate the crop or as part of a frost or freeze prevention program? NOTE: "Irrigating the crop" refers to irrigation during the mature growing cycle. This does not include pre-planting or just after planting to create a stand.	No	0	0	No spray irrigation is used to prevent frost crop.
2.08.03l	Are the crops irrigated by flood irrigation or a furrow system?	No	0	0	Crops not irrigated by furrow irrigation system.
2.08.03m	Are the crops sub irrigated (also known as seepage irrigation)?	No	0	0	Subirrigation not used.
2.08.04	Is the water used in the growing operation sourced from ponds, reservoirs, watersheds or other surface water source? If No, go to 2.08.05	Yes	0	0	There is a pond for irrigation water.
2.08.04a	Is surface water in adequate distance from untreated manure?	N/A	0	0	No untreated manure storage ponds near water.
2.08.04b	Do animals (domestic, livestock, or wild) have access to the water source?	Yes	0	7	The pond water for irrigation is open and animals (birds) have access to this water source.
2.08.04c	Is it evident that the water source is free of contamination issues and are measures taken to minimize contamination of the water source?	Yes	10	10	The pond water was observed clean and free of pollution and frequent inspections.
2.08.04d	Are records kept for the periodic visual inspections and disinfection treatments (if used) available for review?	Yes	7	7	Records "Inspection ponds" available (March, February, January and December).
2.08.04e	Are microbiological tests, including generic E.coli conducted on the water? If No, go to 2.08.04g	Yes	20	20	Open water reservoir:// Water pond: 2 / feb / 15, 16 / Jan / 16, 15 / feb / 16, 14 / Mar / 16: E. coli and C. F. <1.1 MPN / 100ml. Silliker Laboratory. Method M-004 CCA YAC-
2.08.04f	Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?	Yes	15	15	Microbiological water analysis are updated.
2.08.04g	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	Yes	10	10	Procedure "SQF-3: 7.5.2 Strategies microbiological analysis of water sources" available ??.
2.08.04h	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	Yes	10	10	The "SQF-3: 7.5.2 Strategies microbiological analysis of water sources" procedure includes leg results ?? not appropriate corrective action.
2.08.04i	If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	N/A	0	0	No detected abnormal microbiological analyzes.
2.08.04j	Are the crops irrigated by a micro irrigation or drip system?	Yes	0	0	There are drip irrigation system for all sections of the ranch.
2.08.04k	Is overhead irrigation used to irrigate the crop or as part of a frost or freeze prevention program? NOTE: "Irrigating the crop" refers to irrigation during the mature growing cycle. This does not include pre-planting or just after planting to create a stand.	No	0	0	No spray irrigation is used to prevent frost crop.
2.08.04l	Are the crops irrigated by flood irrigation or a furrow system?	No	0	0	Crops not irrigated by furrow irrigation system.
2.08.04m	Are the crops sub irrigated (also known as seepage irrigation)?	No	0	0	Subirrigation not used.
2.08.05	Is the water used in the growing operation sourced from canals, rivers, ditches, or other open flowing water systems? If No, go to 2.08.06	No	0	0	The source of water used is well "San Juan" located within the Ranch.
2.08.05a	Is surface water in adequate distance from untreated manure?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.05b	Is the water source under the direction of a water authority or district?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.05c	Do animals (domestic, livestock, or wild) have access to the water source?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.05d	Is it evident that the water source is free of contamination issues and are measures taken to minimize contamination of the water source?	N/A	0	0	

2.08.05e	Are records kept for periodic visual inspection and disinfection (if occurring) of the water source and available for review?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.05f	Are microbiological tests, including generic E.coli conducted on the water? If No, go to 2.08.05h	N/A	0	0	
2.08.05g	Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.05h	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.05i	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.05j	If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.05k	Are the crops irrigated by a micro irrigation or drip system?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.05l	Is overhead irrigation used to irrigate the crop or as part of a frost or freeze prevention program? NOTE: "Irrigating the crop" refers to irrigation during the mature growing cycle. This does not include pre-planting or just after planting to create a stand.	N/A	0	0	
2.08.05m	Are the crops irrigated by flood irrigation or furrow system?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.05n	Are the crops sub irrigated (also known as seepage irrigation)?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.06	Is reclaimed water used in the growing operation? NOTE: This refers to wastewater that has gone through a treatment process. If No, go to 2.08.07.	No	0	0	No recycled water is used for cultivation.
2.08.06a	Is the reclamation process under the direction of a water reclamation management or authority?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.06b	Are microbial control measures for reclaimed water utilized?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.06c	Are microbiological tests, including generic E.coli conducted on the water? If No, go to 2.08.06e	N/A	0	0	
2.08.06d	Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.06e	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.06f	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.06g	If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.06h	Are the crops irrigated by a micro irrigation or drip system?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.06i	Is overhead irrigation used to irrigate the crop or as part of a frost or freeze prevention program? NOTE: "Irrigating the crop" refers to irrigation during the mature growing cycle. This does not include pre-planting or just after planting to create a stand.	N/A	0	0	
2.08.06j	Are the crops irrigated by flood irrigation or a furrow system?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.06k	Are the crops sub irrigated (also known as seepage irrigation)?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.07	Are tail water (run off water) systems used in the growing operation? If No, go to 2.08.08.	No	0	0	No excess runoff or for growing operation water is used.
2.08.07a	Is surface water in adequate distance from untreated manure?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.07b	Do animals (domestic, livestock, or wild) have access to the tail water systems?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.07c	Is it evident that the water source is free of contamination issues and are measures taken to minimize contamination of the tail water system?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.07d	Are records kept for periodic visual inspection and disinfection (if occurring) of the water source and available for review?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.07e	Are microbiological tests, including generic E.coli conducted on the water? If No, go to 2.08.07g	N/A	0	0	
2.08.07f	Are the microbiological tests current and conducted at the required and/or expected frequencies?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.07g	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.07h	Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.07i	If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.07j	Are the crops irrigated by a micro irrigation or drip system?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.07k	Is overhead irrigation used to irrigate the crop or as part of a frost or freeze prevention program? NOTE: "Irrigating the crop" refers to irrigation during the mature growing cycle. This does not include pre-planting or just after planting to create a stand.	N/A	0	0	
2.08.07l	Are the crops irrigated by flood irrigation or furrow system?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.07m	Are the crops sub irrigated (also known as seepage irrigation)?	N/A	0	0	
2.08.08	Are check valves, anti-siphon devices, or other back flow prevention systems in use when and where necessary?	Yes	10	10	check valve was observed in the well.
2.08.09	Is irrigation equipment not in use free from pest contamination and stored clean, off the ground?	No	0	10	Cintilla observed in Table 3 in direct contact with the ground.

GAP – Crop Protection

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
2.09.01	Is there a documented procedure for the mixing/loading of crop protection materials?	Yes	5	5	There procedure: "POE 6–3 agrochemicals Management Preparation of mixtures of pesticides.." Available.	
2.09.01a	If observed, is the mixing/loading of crop protection materials performed according to the procedure and label instructions?	N/A	0	0	This activity was not observed.	
2.09.02	Is there a documented procedure for the application of crop protection materials?	Yes	5	5	There procedure: "POE 6–2 agrochemicals Management Pesticide Management.." Available.	
2.09.02a	If observed, is the application of crop protection materials performed according to the procedure and label instructions?	N/A	0	0	Pesticide application was not observed.	
2.09.03	Is there a documented procedure for the rinsing and cleaning of crop protection equipment?	Yes	5	5	There procedure: "POE 06.05 Agrochemical Management Cleaning spray equipment..."	
2.09.03a	If observed, is the rinsing and cleaning of crop protection equipment performed according to the procedure and label instructions?	N/A	0	0	Rinsing and cleaning of protective equipment was not observed.	
2.09.04	Is there documentation that shows the individual(s) making decisions for crop protection applications are competent?	Yes	10	10	Pesticides recommended by the agronomist: Hector Grimaldo Bertadillo, professional license No: 7822094 (12 / oct / 2009).	
2.09.05	Is there documentation that shows workers who handle crop protection materials are trained or are under the supervision of a trained individual?	Yes	15	15	The staff responsible for the activities pesticide application is trained, there are records of training to good use and management of agrochemicals (20 / Jan / 2016).	
2.09.06	Are there up to date records of all crop protection products applied during the growing cycle? A 'NO' TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	Yes	20	20	Pesticide application officially registered for use on lettuce and broccoli in Mexico (Lettuce: Mustang Max–Z–Cypermethrin, Amistar–Azoxystrobin; broccoli: Toretto–Sulfuxaflo, Bell 480–Flubendiamide).	
2.09.07	Are there plant protection products registered and/or authorized by a government agency for use in the target crops in the country of production? If No, go to 2.09.08.	Yes	0	0	Plant protection products are authorized by COFEPRIS for use in the crop (lettuce and broccoli).	
2.09.07a	Does the growing operation have the information available for the plant protection products registered and/or authorized for use for the target crops in the country of production? A 'NO' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	Yes	20	20	The technical information was available plant protection products.	
2.09.07b	Are crop protection applications restricted by the guidelines established by the product label, manufacturer recommendation, or by prevailing national/ local standards and guidelines? A 'NO' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	Yes	20	20	Sampled plant protection products is applied as indicated on the label.	
2.09.07c	Where harvesting is restricted by pre–harvest intervals (as required on the crop protection chemical product labels, manufacturer recommendations and/or by prevailing national/ local standards) is the grower adhering to these pre–harvest interval time periods? A 'NO' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	Yes	20	20	harvest intervals for applied products (Mustang Max–Z–Cypermethrin, Amistar–Azoxystrobin, Toretto–Sulfuxaflo, Bell 480–Flubendiamide) are respected.	
2.09.08	If applicable, for those plant protection products that are not registered for use on target crops in the country of production, if the country has no or a partial legislative framework to cover plant protection products, can the grower show that they have registration information, label information, MRL tolerances, etc. for the country of destination? A 'NO' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	N/A	0	0	applied products are registered for use in the cultivation and production country.	
2.09.09	Is there evidence available that the grower is taking all the necessary measures to comply with the country(ies) of destination expectations regarding crop protection products used (e.g. registration information, label information, MRL tolerances or any other guidelines applicable)? A 'NO' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	Yes	10	10	The producer has lists of MRLs for USA and Mexico.	
2.09.10	If crop protection containers are stored on the property (even temporarily), are they stored in a manner to prevent contamination and disposed of responsibly?	Yes	10	10	No empty pesticide containers were observed, no receipts handover empty the Collection Center (12 / Jan / 16) containers.	
2.09.11	Have documented policies and/or procedures been developed for the monitoring of crop protection application equipment (e.g. calibration procedures, inspections, replacement)?	Yes	10	10	It has developed a procedure: "POE–5–5 control machinery and equipment calibration pesticide application equipment.." Available.Last calibration on 21 / Jan / 2016.	
2.09.11a	Is it evident that the equipment used for crop protection applications is in good working order?	Yes	10	10	Application equipment was found in good condition and clean.	

GAP – Field Worker Hygiene (Applies to on–the–farm or greenhouse workers not the harvesting workers)

Question No.	Question Name	Given Answer	Given Score	Possible Score	Auditor Comments	Files
2.10.01	Does the growing operation have a documented and implemented policy for dealing with workers who appear to be physically ill, or become ill while working?	No	0	10	At the ranch there is no regulation that prohibits visual sick employees are in contact with the product.	
2.10.02	Does the growing operation have a documented and implemented policy regarding workers with open sores and wounds?	No	0	10	At the ranch there is no visual regulation prohibiting employees with cuts, wounds or any other source of contamination are in contact with the product.	
2.10.03	Does the growing operation have documented and implemented procedures describing the disposition of product that has come into contact with blood or other bodily fluids? A 'NO' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	Yes	20	20	There is a procedure: POE 4-3 "Product that has had contact with blood" available.	
2.10.04	Does the growing operation have documented and implemented policies prohibiting eating, drinking (including gum chewing) using tobacco in the growing area?	No	0	10	At the ranch there is no visual regulation establishing that eating, drinking and snuff use should be restricted to areas away from the crop.	
2.10.05	Is there a food safety hygiene training program covering new and existing workers and are there records of these training events?	Yes	15	15	The training program was available.Latest training in internal regulations, GAPs (28 / Jan / 2016), use of bathrooms (17 / feb / 2016).	
2.10.06	Are there operational toilet facilities provided? If NO, go to 2.10.07. A 'NO' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	Yes	20	20	It has mobile medical facilities available to employees.	
2.10.06a	Are toilet facilities placed within ¼ mile or 5 minutes walking distance of all workers?	Yes	10	10	Health is about 5 minutes work area.	
2.10.06b	Are toilet facilities in a suitable location to prevent contamination to product, packaging, equipment and growing areas?	Yes	15	15	Bath units are located outside the areas of cultivation.	
2.10.06c	Is a minimum of one toilet facility provided for each group of 20 workers?	Yes	5	5	There are two sanitary facilities for approximately 15 employees who were seen at the ranch.	
2.10.06d	Do toilet facilities have visuals or signs, written in the appropriate languages, reminding workers to wash their hands before returning to work?	Yes	20	20	Health has sign indicating hand washing.	
2.10.06e	Are the toilets maintained in a clean and sanitary condition and are there records showing toilet cleaning, servicing and stocking is occurring regularly?	Yes	10	10	Health were observed clean and in good condition.Cleaning records available.	
2.10.06f	Are the catch basins of the toilets designed and maintained to prevent contamination (e.g. free from leaks and cracks)?	Yes	5	5	Deposits of sanitary facilities are not leaking and the facilities are made of washable materials.	
2.10.06g	Is there a documented and implemented procedure for emptying the catch basin in a hygienic manner and also in a way that prevents product, packaging, equipment, water systems and growing area contamination?	Yes	5	5	Cleaning procedure available units.POE-6-5 Health field.Action plan emerging (Sanieexpress).	
2.10.07	Is there evidence of human fecal contamination in the growing area(s)? A 'YES' ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	No	20	20	No evidence of human fecal contamination in the growing area.	
2.10.08	Are there operational hand washing facilities provided? If No, go to 2.10.09	Yes	15	15	Handwashing stations available.	
2.10.08a	Are the hand washing facilities placed within ¼ mile or 5 minutes walking distance of all workers?	Yes	10	10	Sinks were observed within 5 minutes from the work area.	
2.10.08b	Are hand wash stations clearly visible (e.g. situated outside the toilet facility) and easily accessible to workers?	Yes	5	5	Sink located outside of health.Employees have easy access.	
2.10.08c	Are hand wash stations properly stocked with soap, paper towels and trash can?	Yes	5	5	sink stations properly stocked with soap, paper towels and trash cans were observed.	
2.10.08d	Are the hand wash stations designed and being maintained to prevent contamination onto the growing area(s) (i.e. spent water does not go straight to the ground)?	No	0	5	The gray water tank sink station located on one side of the well observed him an unsealed gap.	
2.10.08e	Does the growing operation have a documented and implemented policy and procedure in place requiring workers to wash their hands (e.g. prior to beginning work, after breaks, after toilet use)?	No	0	10	Personal interview (Silvia Ramirez Garcia and Arnulfo Ramirez) made improper washing hands.	
2.10.09	Is fresh potable drinking water provided for workers? If No, go to 2.10.10	Yes	10	10	The company provides bottled water (Santorini) staff, Analysis of potability of water (12 / Jan / 2016): Geusa West S.A.de C.V.(Ground Celaya)	
2.10.09a	If used, are water containers maintained in a clean condition?	Yes	5	5	Water containers were observed clean.	
2.10.10	Are first-aid kits available and is the inventory maintained properly?	No	0	5	The inventory of first aid kit does not indicate existing amounts.	
2.10.11	Are there trash cans available on the field placed in suitable locations?	Yes	5	5	There are trash cans in the dining areas and hand washing stations.	
2.10.12	Are there any foreign material issues observed that are or could be potential risks to the product in the growing area(s)?	No	5	5	No foreign matter was observed in growing areas.	
2.10.13	Is there a documented and implemented policy that infant or toddler aged children are not allowed in the growing area? NOTE: This includes any packaging or equipment storage areas.	Yes	10	10	There is no "Rules" indicated in section 13 and was available.	